March 2, 2020

Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Secretary Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure Administrative Office of the United States Courts One Columbus Circle, NE Washington, D.C. 20544

Re: Amending Federal Rule of Evidence 702 to Clarify Courts' "Gatekeeping" Obligation

Dear Ms. Womeldorf:

As chief legal officers of organizations that are frequently engaged with the American civil justice system, we represent stakeholders—including employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and shareholders—who rely on the federal courts to be a just forum for the resolution of legal disputes on the merits.

The Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules ("Committee") is entrusted with the essential task of ensuring the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE") are fair, plainly understood, and uniformly applied. We applaud the Committee for the seriousness of purpose with which it is evaluating practices under Rule 702.

Our experience indicates that adherence to Rule 702's standards for the admission of opinion testimony is far from acceptable. We are concerned that, left on its current trajectory without Committee action, judicial practices under Rule 702 will continue to diverge materially from the Committee's purpose when it drafted the rule to give effect to the Supreme Court's decision in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,* 509 U.S. 579 (1993) and its progeny.

Too often, courts do not fully execute or enforce the "gatekeeping" obligation. Instead, we see courts allowing juries a role in deciding whether an expert's opinions have the requisite scientific support without first ensuring that the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods and is reliably applied. This practice deviates from the Committee's intent that Rule 702 allocate the responsibility between the judge and the jury for deciding preliminary questions under Rules 104(a) (the court in its "gatekeeping" role must decide the preliminary question of whether a witness is qualified or the evidence admissible) and 104(b) (the jury may determine whether there are sufficient facts and data to render evidence relevant). The distinction between these tests is often unclear to both the bench and the bar. Confusion about the court's role in assessing these foundational requirements results in the admission of unreliable opinion testimony that misleads juries, undermines civil justice, and erodes our stakeholders' confidence in the courts.

Moreover, some courts refer to Rule 702's establishing a "presumption of admissibility"—a mischaracterization that inverts the proponent's burden to establish the admissibility of expert testimony. This "presumption" appears to stem in part from the Committee's well-intended but widely misunderstood Note to the 2000 rule amendment stating that "the rejection of expert testimony is the exception rather than the rule." That statement, which was an observation about pre-2000 practice and

not intended to characterize admissibility standards, has derailed Rule 702 in many courts, causing unjust results.

We understand that the Committee balances several factors when deciding whether to amend a rule, and we don't make our suggestion lightly. We support the Committee's general caution about amendments that clarify rather than change standards; address problems of adherence to, rather than understanding of, the rule; and affect the development of legal principles in a way perhaps better left to case law. Nevertheless, the Committee has a responsibility to act when doing so would materially improve a situation of widespread misapplication of a rule.

We urge you to move forward with an amendment to Rule 702 that would remedy the inconsistency in practice by clarifying that: (1) the proponent of the expert's testimony bears the burden of establishing its admissibility; (2) the proponent's burden requires demonstrating the sufficiency of the basis and reliability of the expert's methodology and its application; and (3) an expert shall not assert a degree of confidence in an opinion that is not itself derived from sufficient facts and reliable methods.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bradley D. Dantic Vice-President & General Counsel ALPS Property & Casualty Insurance Company

Chris Harmon Senior Vice President and General Counsel Altec, Inc.

Lucy Fato Executive Vice President and General Counsel American International Group, Inc.

Raymond Blacklidge Executive VP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary American Traditions Insurance Company

Barbara Sutherland SVP – General Counsel Argo Group US

David R. McAtee II Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel AT&T Inc.

Stefan John SVP & General Counsel BASF Corporation Scott Partridge General Counsel and Senior Vice President Bayer US LLC

Todd M. Bloomquist Senior Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary Beam Suntory Inc.

Sam Khichi Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Public Policy & Regulatory Affairs Becton, Dickinson and Company

Eric R. Finkelman Senior Vice President & General Counsel Benjamin Moore & Co.

Joseph Wayland Executive Vice President and General Counsel Chubb Limited

Lisa M. Floro Vice President, General Counsel, Interventional Urology Coloplast Corp.

Gina Gervino Sr. Vice President, Secretary & General Counsel Columbia Insurance Group

Thomas J. Reid Senior Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary Comcast Corporation

Jim Kraus SVP, General Counsel & Secretary, Legal Crum & Forster

Jean F. Holloway, Esq. Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary CryoLife, Inc.

Amy Wilson General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Dow Inc.

Michael L. Bell Senior Assistant General Counsel – Litigation Electrolux North America, Inc. Doug Lampe Counsel Ford Motor Company

Mary F. Riley Vice President, Litigation Genentech, Inc.

James R. Ford Senior Vice President and General Counsel GlaxoSmithKline LLC

Kent Walker Senior Vice President, Global Affairs and Chief Legal Officer Google LLC

Anne Madden Senior Vice President and General Counsel Honeywell International Inc.

Thomas N. Vanderford, Jr. Associate General Counsel, Executive Director, Litigation Hyundai Motor America

Buren E. Jones Vice-President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Indiana Farmers Insurance

Michael H. Ullmann Executive Vice President, General Counsel Johnson & Johnson

Thomas C. Evans Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel Kemper Corporation

James Kelleher Chief Legal Officer Liberty Mutual Insurance

Richard Grinnan Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary Markel Corporation

Cheryl Matricciani Chief Operating Officer Medical Mutual Liability Insurance Society of Maryland Brad Lerman Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Medtronic

Jennifer Zachary Executive Vice President and General Counsel Merck & Co., Inc.

Dev Stahlkopf Corporate Vice President and General Counsel Microsoft Corporation

Elizabeth McGee General Counsel Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation

Doug Lankler Executive Vice President and General Counsel Pfizer

Brian P. Roche General Counsel Riddell, Inc.

Richard J. Fabian Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Chief Strategy Officer RiverStone Resources

Edward W. Moore Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer RPM International Inc.

Catheryn A. O'Rourke Chief Legal and Compliance Officer Smith + Nephew

Michael Flemming Chief Legal Officer Smithfield Foods

Steve McManus Senior Vice President and General Counsel State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

Norv McAndrew SVP and General Counsel, Global Litigation Teva Pharmaceuticals Lawrence La Sala VP and Deputy General Counsel – Litigation Textron Inc.

Scott P. Rowe General Counsel Tower Hill Insurance

Sandra Phillips Rogers Group Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Diversity Officer Toyota Motor North America, Inc.

Richard N. Bland Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary Vermont Mutual Insurance Group

Elena Kraus Senior Vice President and General Counsel Walgreen Co.

Kyle P. De Jong Assistant General Counsel, Global Disputes Whirlpool Corporation

Laura J. Lazarczyk, FIP Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary Zurich North America